To: Allen, Elizabeth[allen.elizabeth@epa.gov]; Koch, Kristine[Koch.Kristine@epa.gov]; Christopher, Anne[Christopher.Anne@epa.gov]; Cora, Lori[Cora.Lori@epa.gov]; Sheldrake, Sean[sheldrake.sean@epa.gov]; Conley, Alanna[conley.alanna@epa.gov]; Robinson,

Deborah[Robinson.Deborah@epa.gov]

From: Grandinetti, Cami
Sent: Fri 6/5/2015 5:25:02 PM

Subject: RE: CAG meeting (Attention: please see LWG plan to present during July meeting)

LWG 2015 Summer handout cami.docx

Okay, I really didn't like the last three paragraphs, so I did some major overhauling.

Cami Grandinetti

Program Manager, Remedial Cleanup Program

USEPA

1200 6th Ave, Suite 900

ECL-113

Seattle, Wa. 98101

206-553-8696 (desk)

206-390-8890 (cell)

206-553-0124 (fax)

From: Allen, Elizabeth

Sent: Wednesday, June 03, 2015 1:16 PM

To: Grandinetti, Cami; Koch, Kristine; Christopher, Anne; Cora, Lori; Sheldrake, Sean; Conley,

Alanna

Subject: RE: CAG meeting (Attention: please see LWG plan to present during July meeting)

Cami,

I've included most of your edits as comments (a couple are outright deletions), you can modify the comments as you'd like. I also commented on the comparison of the more recent tissue data to the 2005 data. We've never agreed with their analysis, and it's pretty annoying that they keep trotting that out...

From: Grandinetti, Cami

Sent: Friday, May 29, 2015 8:27 AM

To: Conley, Alanna; Sheldrake, Sean; Koch, Kristine; Cora, Lori; Allen, Elizabeth; Christopher,

Anne; Robinson, Deborah

Subject: RE: CAG meeting (Attention: please see LWG plan to present during July meeting)

Alanna—I can read Barbara's comments in different ways: "The LWG has established a set of key considerations for what we believe are the priorities for the sediment cleanup. We know the CAG will be working on its priorities for what should be included in the remedy and we would like share with you our priorities for things like the cleanup goals, the conceptual site model, the use of cleanup technologies, etc."

Can you clarify whether the LWG will just be sharing these "priorities" with us or if they are planning to do outreach on them beyond the CAG and what more specifically will be included within the scope of their "priorities." Is it limited to discussing a preference for the remedy to be consistent with land use, that it be targeted to the highest risk, that it be cost-effective (these are things I've heard from them) or are they going into different territory?

Once you get more from Barbara, I can reach out to LWG managers—we're meeting on June 17.

Cami Grandinetti

Program Manager, Remedial Cleanup Program

USEPA

1200 6th Ave, Suite 900

ECL-113

Seattle, Wa. 98101

206-553-8696 (desk)

206-390-8890 (cell)

206-553-0124 (fax)

From: Conley, Alanna

Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2015 4:15 PM

To: Grandinetti, Cami; Sheldrake, Sean; Koch, Kristine; Cora, Lori; Allen, Elizabeth;

Christopher, Anne; Robinson, Deborah

Subject: CAG meeting (Attention: please see LWG plan to present during July meeting)

Importance: High

All please see below. During the July 8th CAG meeting the LWG plans to present their "key considerations for what we believe are the priorities for the sediment cleanup."

This is a surprise. Especially since Barbara's plans for engagement were rather vague last week. (see email at bottom of the page.)

Cami and Kristine – I'll contact Barbara next week to learn more. However, since she has not been forthcoming with information, Cami it would be advantageous if you brought this up in your next discussions with LWG managers. As we are developing our messages, we need to know what they could be saying. At minimum, I suggest that LWG provides a copy of messages (considerations) /presentation, two days prior to the CAG meeting.

Debbie, I know you are sending a meeting invite – but let's have first draft of EPA story/messages related to proposed plan by June 7th.

Any other thoughts?

From: Barbara Smith [mailto:barbara@harrisandsmith.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2015 10:47 PM

To: Jim Robison

Cc: Jackie Calder; Conley, Alanna

Subject: RE: CAG meeting

Thanks Jim – we look forward to it!

From:	Personal Privacy / Ex. 6 sday, May 27, 2015 7:23 PM	On Behalf Of Jim Robison
To: Barbara S		
Cc: Jackie Ca	lder; Alanna Conley	
Subject: Re: 0	CAG meeting	
**		
Yes, we can pl	lan on that for the July CAG meeting.	
Jim		
On May 19 20	015 10:43 AM, "Barbara Smith" < <u>barbara@ha</u>	arrisandsmith com> wrote
On Way 15, 20	oro ro. 15 rm., Baroara Smrtii - omourawana	arioundominicom wide.
Jim:		
be finalizing Remedy Refor what we working on with you ou of cleanup t	would like some time on July CAG agenda if p g the FS later this summer and preparing its Review Board later this fall. The LWG has estable believe are the priorities for the sediment clear its priorities for what should be included in the priorities for things like the cleanup goals, the echnologies, etc.	emedy Concept for the National plished a set of key considerations anup. We know the CAG will be e remedy and we would like share
Thanks		
Barbara Sm	ith	
	bara Smith [mailto:barbara@harrisandsmith.co	om]
	nesday, May 20, 2015 10:05 PM	
*	, Alanna; <u>christine.white@portofportland.com</u> E: Upcoming LWG outreach	
ander VI	J. Opcoming L W O ouncach	

Alanna

Thanks for your email. I will let you know when the LWG has outreach events as they are scheduled. We will be reaching out to several groups in the coming month – as opportunities arise. Our messages will continue to be that we support a cleanup plan that is protective of human health and the environment and complies with the NCP.

I see EPA is making a presentation to the Sierra Club on May 21 (tomorrow). Please let us know of other outreach events you have planned. It would also be good to know what EPA plans to do about outreach related to its draft remedy concept planned for the NRRB this fall.

Yes, I received the CAG slides from Kristine. I also hope you received the BERA CDs you requested.

Best Regards,

Barbara